



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/644,594	08/23/2000	Spencer Andrew Meister	DDM00-031	2456

30137 7590 05/27/2004

LAW OFFICE OF DONALD D. MONDUL  
6631 LOVINGTON DRIVE  
DALLAS, TX 75252

EXAMINER
----------

BUTLER, MICHAEL E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3653

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/644,594

Applicant(s)  
Meister et al.

Examiner  
Michael E. Butler

Art Unit  
3653



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael E. Butler

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Don Mondel

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview May 19, 2004

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's preferred embodiment directed to having one dispensing actuator acting as a master with a direct link over a slave co-dispenser in contrast to the references and common approach of plural co-dispenser under distributed control of a separate master central controller.

Receipts qualify as indicia of value.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

*Michael E. Butler*

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required